## PORTSMOUTH COUNTY COURT.

86 di

34

G

ri

hi

pi

de

re

AD

lei

ng

Re

D. pr

th

ha

M

53

be

be hu

w)

80

gr

ch H

Se

ot

19

gu

off Ad an

im

700.8

Ch ya. 801

J

of

Sa

ple me

Qu

the

ab Ac

det

ros

ba

mo

-ROBERT

FORGETPULNESS .- ALEXANDER ALDOUS AND CHARLES PRATT V. ALPRED H. WHEAT NOFT Plaintiffs are wine merchants, of Portsea, trading under the firm of Stokes

and Co., and the defendant is a military messman, residing at Fort Grange, Gosport, and the action was brought to recover £7.78.7d for goods supplied. Defendant paid £4 4s 4d into court, and disputed the remainder. Way, solicitor to a Trade Protection Society, appeared for

the plaintiffs; and Mr. Wallis for the defendant. Mr. D. Barlegh, in the employ of plaintiffs, was about to be examined, and produced the delivery book, from which it appeared there was a dispute as to the delivery of a quantity

of pale ale .- Mr. Wallis asked whether the delivery book was signed by the witness .- Witness replied that it was

not, but that did not make any difference.-Mr. Wallis

contended that the book could not be admitted as evidence.

Witness said the book was sufficient evidence, whether

signed by him or not .- His Honour: It is not sufficient

evidence, sir, and if you dispute my law you had better

upset it .- Mr. Wallis: There is now no proof of debt .-Witness said he could produce the man who delivered the pale ale to the defendant.—His Honour would adjourn the

case for a short time for the production of the witness .-

George Ellison, a carter, who delivered goods, soon made

his appearance, and was subjected to a lengthy examina-

tion. He swore positively that he had delivered two kilderkins of ale, with other goods, at the defendant's mess

kitchen on the 21st of October, 1864, and the entries were in pencil in the delivery book. In cross-examination by Mr.

Wallis, witness said it was Mrs. Wheatcroft's cross in the de-

livery book. He could not say, without referring to his books, whether the two kilderkins of ale were included in

the delivery on the day before-mentioned. When he de-livered the barrels of ale he only entered the numbers of

them in his delivery book. He would take his oath that he delivered the two kilderkins in question.-Mr. Wallis said

his simple answer to the case was that the two kilderkins

of ale were never delivered, and in consequence of their

non-delivery the defendant was obliged to purchase two

kilderkins of pale ale from Mr. Newman, of Gosport.-Mr. Barlegh was next examined, and admitted that three

" alterations" had been made in the accounts between the

plaintiffs and the defendant. The two kilderkins of pale

ale were sent to defendant direct from Burton-on-Treat .-

The defendant having been examined stated that he never received the two kilderkins of ale, and gave proof accord-

ingly.-His Honour said there could be no doubt but what the plaintiffs' carter must have delivered the ale at the wrong place, and forgotten where it was .- Verdict for the

CLAIMING POSSESSION OF A TENEMENT.-

defendant.

WEDNESDAY .- (Before Mr. T. Gunner.)