

being done.

DIVORCE CASE.—In the Probate Division of the High Court of Justice on Saturday, Mr. Justice Brett had before him the suit of Knight v. Knight and Wheatcroft, which was a suit by the husband, William Knight, for a dissolution of his marriage on the ground of adultery of his wife Sarah Knight, with the co-respondent, George Wheatcroft. There was no defence. William Knight, the petitioner, stated that he was married to the respondent on the 30th October, 1865, at the Parish Church, Chesterfield, afterwards cohabiting in Brunswick-street, Chesterfield. As long ago as 12 years the respondent gave way to habits of intemperance. In February, 1878, she left home and remained away for 13 weeks, refusing to state on her return where she had been staying. A reconciliation was, however, effected, and they left Chesterfield and went to reside in Sheffield, returning again to Chesterfield in February, 1880. On the night of the 4th November of that year she was away, and remained absent the whole of the next day and night. Upon her coming back he refused to admit her, and he had not since cohabited with her. He had been to No. 13, Shakespeare-yard, and there saw the respondent and co-respondent. They both admitted that they were living together as man and wife. Witness had known Wheatcroft for many years, and co-respondent was well acquainted both with himself and his wife before she ceased to live with him.—Richard Knight, a brother of the petitioner, of Boythorpe Colliery, near Chesterfield, said he remembered petitioner living with his wife in Brunswick-street, at which time respondent was very intemperate. She left home in February, 1878, and was absent for 13 weeks. His brother refused to allow her to return home after a second absence in November, 1880, and six months later he sold up his home and went to live at the house of their mother.—Emma Richards, a charwoman, residing at Chesterfield, having proved that the respondent and co-respondent were now cohabiting as man and wife at 13, Shakespeare-yard, his Lordship pronounced a decree nisi.