



Issue 18

September 2011

NEWS FROM THE CHAIRMAN

I have recently read again the booklet "50 Years of Service", a history of the National Umpires and Referees Committee 1950-2000, produced by Colin Clemmett, and would like to share with you some of the passages contained therein.

"In 1949 the National Executive Committee (NEC) which was then the governing body of English table tennis, decided it was unsatisfactory to go on depending on volunteers of uncertain ability for umpiring at its major events, and set up a small sub-committee to consider how best to establish a body of qualified umpires of proven competence."

"The sub committee was chaired by George White who first umpired at a major championship in 1936 (the English Championships at Paddington Baths). There he umpired 21 best of 5 matches and 2 best of 3 matches in a period of 2 and half days, including the consolation singles final".

Among other things the sub committee said:

"Our researches have shown that in a number of other sports umpires are organised in independent Societies or Associations, the ruling body of the sport having no control, over the activities of these umpire organisations. We have considered the possibility of instituting similar arrangements in Table Tennis, but have rejected it for the following reasons:

(a) So far as we are aware, there has never been any demand for. or initiative towards, such an arrangement.

(b) The initial difficulties of setting up, and the subsequent task of fostering such independent and new bodies would be so great that, even if the ultimate results were satisfactory, the delay before the project became effective would be unduly long.

(c) Since umpires already take a considerable part in the local and National administration of the game, there is no real danger of their feeling that their views could only be voiced through such an independent body".

At a meeting of umpires in Derby in 2004 there was a demand for 'such an arrangement' and the AETTU was formed. In seven years we grew into the strong, effective organisation of today, professional non-militant and cheap! We cannot dictate, we have no mandate from the governing body; we can only listen to you, the members, and raise issues in accordance with your needs. What issues do you have as an umpire? How do you see your association? How would you like the association to move forward? Please make your views known.

You can E-mail me at sylviatyler@sky.com.

You can contact me by Phone on **01673 843655**

You can also talk to the Secretary Tom Purcell on **07785 385918**

SECRETARY'S REPORT - AETTU AGM 2011

The 2010-2011 season has come to an end. The AETTU has seen an increase in the number of new members although a few umpires who are no longer umpiring have withdrawn from the Association. Overall though we have approximately 100 members in an active Association.

The Association has recommended for some time that there should be an annual seminar for umpires so that they could be updated on new Laws and Regulations and to give refresher training on the role of the umpire. A joint seminar was held in August 2010 with members of the NURC. It was well attended and was an informative seminar. I believe that refresher training should take place every year for umpires. The national seminar was productive but I believe that regional seminars would be more beneficial and would allow refresher training for more umpires closer to home. The NURC in its report to National Council, on recruitment and training, made such a recommendation and we are waiting to hear about the dates and venues for regional training during 2011-12.

At the last AGM I reported that the AETTU had submitted a report to the NURC and the ETTA re the running of the 2009 English Open and its impact on umpires due to the lack of umpires and poor umpiring scheduling. I'm pleased to report that there was an improvement at the 2011 Open. There

were more umpires, umpires controllers and improved scheduling.

Prior to the last AGM the NURC had considered changing the English umpires' tie from blue to red in the hope that it would allow the red, English tie, with motif, to be used as part of the ITTF uniform. I did not believe the ITTF uniform regulations would allow such a change and advised the NURC to that effect. I can report that after due consideration the NURC are no longer pursuing that recommendation.

A few years ago the NURC recommended that the English umpires' uniform should be changed from a royal bluejacket to a navy bluejacket. It believed that this would bring the English umpires' uniform in line with the majority of other international associations and it would be cheaper to buy the navy blue jackets. The NURC did not discuss this proposed change fully with the majority of umpires and it was not supported by the National Council.

During the last few months the NURC submitted another proposal to National Council recommending that umpires should be allowed to choose between wearing the established royal blue uniform jacket or a navy blue jacket. Once again this was not discussed with the vast majority of umpires but on this occasion National Council supported the recommendation. NURC is currently drawing up draft changes to the regulations and when they have been approved they will be circulated.

I am not against the change. I believe that if the NURC had discussed the most recent proposal with the majority of umpires it would have found the majority in favour of the change. However, the manner in which it was carried out has supplied the NURC with a hollow victory.

The NURC has organised a Recruitment and Training sub-group of which I am a member. The sub-group is proactive and as a result a large number of new county umpires have been recruited. Some of these new umpires have joined the AETTU and all umpires are encouraged to assist the new umpires in their training and development. The hard part about recruitment is encouraging the new umpires to attend tournaments and join the circuit. I know that a number have started umpiring. The recruitment drive should not be used as a head count. People become umpires for a number of reasons and it will be interesting to research, in twelve months or two years, how many of the new umpires are active.

The 2011 AETTU umpires' tournament took place at Scunthorpe TTC on the weekend of 23-24th July 2011. It was well attended with an increase in the number of participants and an increase in the standard of play. The facilities at Scunthorpe TTC were excellent and we had an enjoyable meal at a local restaurant on the Saturday evening. We are planning to hold another tournament next year.

During the weekend of the 24th to 27th of November 2011 the ITTF Pro Tour Finals are being held at the Excel Centre in London, as a trial for the Olympics. All the world's top players will be attending and it will be an ideal time to see the venue and top class table tennis.

The next 12 months is going to be an exciting time for world sport with the Olympics coming to London. I know that a number of umpires have applied to the organisers to umpire at the Olympics or Paralympics and a large number have volunteered to work at both table tennis events. I hope everyone is successful.

Tom Purcell
Hon. Secretary AETTU



UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

The Liverpool Grand Prix is the curtain raiser for the new GP season and on the week-end of 20-21st August 2011 there was a good turn out for the tournament. The women's band 1 singles always looked set to be a tight affair and so it proved, with a number of tight groups and long games. One group however proved closer than most.

Group 3 containing Gillian Edwards, Chloe Whyte, Angharad Phillips and Tressa Armitage ended closer than any group anyone present could remember! Every match in the group was won 3-1 and saw Angharad, Chloe and Tressa tie with 2 wins each. As all of the matches were won 3-1 the count back moved onto points, where it was discovered that all 3 players had exactly the same number of

points. This is so rare it left the referees consulting the rule book on how to decide the group as no one in the hall had ever seen a tied points situation. In the end it came down to a draw of lots to decide which 2 players went through to the next round and which unlucky player went out. Angharad proved to be the luckiest drawing 1st place with Tressa getting 2nd and left probably the most unlucky person in the hall, Chloe Whyte, to sit out the rest of the day. A very rare incident which had the referee, Eileen Allison and her team scratching their heads.

Tom Purcell Liverpool GP

Important: Read this pamphlet circulated by the E. T. T.A.

THE SERVICE RULE

Law 10— A Good Service.

The service shall begin by the server projecting the ball by hand only, without imparting of spin, vertically up into the air. The ball shall then be struck so that it touch first the server's court and then, passing directly over or around the net, touch the striker-out's court.

The free hand, while in contact with the ball in service, shall be open flat and horizontal, with the fingers straight and together, thumb free, and the ball resting on the palm without being cupped or pinched by the fingers.

At the moment of impact of the racket on the ball in service, the ball shall be behind the end line of the server's court and between an imaginary continuation of the side lines.

Knotty Point 6. Interpretation

Umpires will remember that law 10 is not intended to impose on the server an exact geometrical pattern, but to prevent attempts to secure advantage by either evasion of the Rule or general careless disregard. The free hand must not turn over or move sideways.

This service law is in force throughout the table tennis world, and must be observed in all organised play by clubs and individuals affiliated to the ETTA. Although the wording quoted above, which came into force at the beginning of the 1951-52 season, is different from the previous version of the law, there has been no change in the purpose of the rule or in the actions required in serving. The wording has been altered only to make the rule more easily understood and applied.

The object of the "flat hand" part of the rule is to place on the server the responsibility for showing that any spin on his service comes solely from the racket. If the service is correctly made, there can be no possibility of any spin resulting from the action of the free hand. To make a service correctly, these points must be observed. *The hand must be open flat and horizontal. The fingers must be straight and together. The thumb must be free.*

The ball must start resting on the palm, not cupped or pinched by the fingers. The ball must be projected vertically up into the air.

The last point is very important, and a common source of difficulty. The knotty point interpretation means that, while a player is expected to take reasonable care to project the ball vertically upwards, a slight divergence from the exact geometrical vertical need not be penalised.

On the other hand, a style of service that deliberately projects the ball out of vertical, or careless indifference that produces the same result, usually gives the server some advantage, whether he realises this or not. Such a service must be penalised immediately; umpires must not wait for the offence to be repeated, nor are they empowered to give any warnings. They must operate the rule strictly and stop the offence at once.

Many complaints have been received about local neglect or flagrant violation of the service rule, and once again the co-operation of all players and officials is requested to see that the rule is strictly observed. Do not ignore it even in practising. It is just as much part of the game as any other rule, and if you do not observe it at practice you may forget it and lose points in matches.

Reproduced from Liverpool League Digest — Dated 1951

A POLICE ESCORT IN SCUNTHORPE

A very enjoyable Saturday with plenty of table tennis, for most, at the Scunthorpe Table Tennis Centre. This wonderful facility with glass screened rest area with kitchen facilities, was easily accessible being just a mile or two from the M180, and less than a mile from our accommodation (the least said about that the better - I've used the Travelodge many times, but of recent days have found them to be outdated, with basic facilities and sometimes needing a good clean – that's the minimum I will say).

Anyway, back to the Umpires Tournament. A really nice meal on Saturday organised by John Mackey. The restaurant was only a few minutes drive away. No problem! *"You don't drink do you, can I come with you"* came 3 voices - well I don't now I thought. Off I drove with my 3 passengers and without a hitch found the Ashby Lodge pub/restaurant. There was plenty of delicious food, drink (not me of course), good company and a 'Catchphrase' Quiz organised by our Vice Chairman Derek followed by his usual witty speech.

Then reluctantly we were on our way back to the hotel - it was a main road all the way and only a few minutes drive. Oh no - Scunthorpe decides to resurface most of its main roads whilst we were with them. Only a few yards and the main A18 road was closed (resurfacing). We followed the diversion signs for mile after mile, round and round, along residential roads and passing the same landmarks 'more than once' only to be met by road work cones right across the roads again, another road closed? No, these were to stop us going UP the road we had just come DOWN. My Panda was not to be foiled and I drove straight between them (the joys of having a small car). Then one of my passengers, organised as ever, announced that they had their SatNav with them. Delighted we thought that this would get us back to the hotel (did we really want to get there anyway?) Another "Oh no" - dear 'ole SatNav didn't know there were road works and took us back to the beginning of them! Giving up on SatNav, round and round again we drove and came back to the cones which Panda had negotiated so well earlier. *"I'm turning left here and asking the first person I see for directions"* I announced, and turning left I almost hit another 'Panda' car. I wound my window - he wound down his - *"We're lost and want to get to the Travelodge"* - "Yes" said the kind driver *"it's"* - he pointed this way and that and then said *"follow me"*. Two Panda's together travelling the streets of Scunthorpe late at night - how sweet.

Nice one - he took us all the way to the "hotel" curving through streets we'd seen before and ones we hadn't - problem was he never got above 30 mph - with my passengers urging me to overtake - thanks 'friends'. Not likely I thought, my car smelt like a brewery. A wave at the island by the hotel and the friendly driver went back the way he had come. How helpful the Scunthorpe Police Force are. Wonder if he told his work mates how he'd helped three elderly men and a young woman across the road?

Sunday bought the second excellent day's table tennis, not before we'd negotiated another road closure of course (the one on which the venue was located) - again for resurfacing. This time I was prepared, I had a map of the area to hand. On Sunday, lunch was prepared by the ladies - Joyce, Margaret and Barbara - and delicious it was too - quiche, sandwiches, salad and home made cakes - and drinks were available all day. Many thanks again ladies.

All in all another great AETTU tournament. Thanks to Tom (the organiser), Derek (Quiz) and last but by no means least George (Referee) - hope I haven't missed anybody - oh yes, everyone who let me beat them! - not many I must add.

Happy Dayz

Dot Macfarland

SEEDING?CERTAINLY!

Says SAM KIRKWOOD *Well known "Sporting World" reporter*

For all its popularity, table tennis cannot be claimed as a money making sport in this country. The average tournament just about pays its way; not infrequently it shows a loss. The moral is while money-making is not the be-all and end-all of competitive "open" play, in the interest of spectators and organisers tournaments must be made as attractive as possible.

The seeding system helps here. Why? Because paying spectators — who usually are interested in seeing only semi-finals and finals — are practically assured of seeing the best players in any given tournament fighting it out in the concluding rounds. After all, the "customer" pays to see the best, and organisers are bound to see that, so far as lies in their power, they get it.

"Ah, yes" you might say, *that's all very well: but what about the players themselves? Why shouldn't the average player be given a fighting chance, via an unseeded draw, to get through to the later rounds?* Under the seeding method the unknown is almost assured of a comparatively early K.O., because he must meet a star in his quarter. 'Rabbits' pay their entry fees like anyone else and are entitled to get within smelling distance of a prize.

Per Ardua ad Astra

There's something in that. But—the fact remains that *every star was once a rabbit* and had to prove himself the hard way. Every player has the same opportunity to do so: one of the reasons why open tournaments are run is to afford chances to the unknown to prove himself. When a player is seeded it is a mark of acknowledgment that he has earned his laurels, that he has arrived —it is foolish to say, as some do, that he is the object of favouritism. An ordinary club member myself, I have always cheerfully paid my entrance fees, enjoyed my games (or game) and had no 'crib' whatsoever because superior men, of proven merit, were rightly considered by organisers to be probable semi-finalists and were therefore seeded.

It works at Wimbledon

Here I make the not irrelevant point that the wonderfully successful annual Wimbledon lawn tennis series are planned, organised and run on the seeded basis. Critics, players and scores of thousands of tennis followers alike are almost unanimous that this does much to make this tournament the attraction it is.

However, my main point is this (as I said before): that those who pay hard cash which pays for the organisation of competitions - to wit, the spectators -must be given every consideration, and are entitled to see the best players battle it out on finals night. Dispassionate and knowledgeable seeding is the answer - and a sporting challenge, incidentally, to the unknown to strive his utmost to upset calculations.

Anyway, if there is a better method than seeding which ensures that the player worth his salt, together with the T.T. followers, get a square deal. I'd certainly like to hear about it.

This article was taken from the official magazine of the English Table Tennis Association

September 1948... Priced 6 pence

A PLEA TO UMPIRES

For Organisers of knockout tournaments it is very inconvenient when umpires do not turn up without having the courtesy to let them know that they are not coming. It has the effect that the schedule is harder to keep to and the other umpires have to do more work to compensate. In the case of the Veterans, Womens and Junior British Leagues it is even more difficult as these are team events and umpires have to control a team match which consists of 6 singles matches and an umpire is essential for each table for the duration of the team match. There are 3 team matches on Saturdays and 2 on Sunday. Organisers usually invite enough umpires for one per table and one or two spares. It is not

always possible to have spares as it is often hard to recruit the minimum number required. When an umpire does not turn up without warning there are several consequences:

Members of the admin team or the deputy referee who are busy anyway have to take it in turns to cover the shortfall and there are times when it is not possible.

If there are no spares, the players have to umpire their own matches.

The teams frequently become incensed at having to umpire as they have to pay a great deal of money to play in the event and rightly expect umpires. I personally feel that they have every right to be annoyed and I have to accept the abuse I sometimes get whilst agreeing absolutely with them. If the organiser has some warning they stand a small chance of prevailing on someone to cover, but if they do not know until the briefing when the absences are found they have no chance.

The cost of accommodation and meals at the venue for the umpires is substantial and this money is lost. This is of the order of £100 per umpire, which includes hotel accommodation for two nights, meals and a single supplement payable because the remaining umpire in that room is alone. Meals at the venue have to be paid for and we offer lunch and tea to any volunteers who will umpire.

This season alone two umpires failed to turn up with no warning at the first Veteran's British League week-end and at the second Women's British League week-end two umpires failed to arrive without letting us know and because another had to cancel the day before and we were unable to replace him, despite many phone calls we were actually 3 short. The organiser umpired all day Saturday which meant he could not fulfil many of his duties, we managed to get some one for Sunday but were still two umpires short.

I do realise that the unexpected does occur but given that everyone has a contact number for the Organiser please, please, let us know as soon as possible if you cannot come so that we stand some sort of chance to fill the gaps.

Gill Smith

I HAVE RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING LETTER FROM JANIE KIRBY

I do not know if I should start "Dear Editor.. ..and finish Yours dejectedly.
I just wanted to express my dismay at the decision to allow our English umpires to wear the usual blue blazer or any navy one.
The most important point is the fact that we will not be in uniform.
The second - the navy blazer - will be of varying designs and shades and will not have any identifying badge.
We have various shades of grey trousers and the ladies have all sorts of shirts and blouses.
We are going to look a shambles, where before we used to look reasonably smart.
We are meant to wear a uniform. What is our uniform now??

Janie Kirby.

I agree with what Janie says. We now see blue blazers, with any shade of grey trousers, navy blue blazers with any colour of fawn, light brown trousers, some with grey trousers, some with white shirts and some with any shade of blue shirts, some with maroon ties some with blue ties. In my opinion if players are in an ITTF event they can wear their ITTF uniform but in my opinion if the event is an ETTA event then **ALL** umpires should use our national uniform which was changed at the time of the 1977 World Championships to **Blue Blazers, Mid Grey Trousers, White Shirt Blue English Umpires Tie and Black Shoes.**

Geoff Taylor (Editor)

Three men in a boat?

Humbly written in the manner of Jerome K. Jerome late of Staffordshire

The Annual Umpires' Tournament held this year at the Scunthorpe Table Centre threw up a whole raft of surprising results. Despite scrutinizing the excellent report on the AETTU Web site I could find no reference to the name, of perhaps the greatest player to emerge from Little Hayward, one Derek Sherratt. That is not including the Vicar's Wife and the man with a limp from the paper shop. (This can be verified on Wikapedia).

I am reliably informed, came about largely through a combination of poor organization and the devious tactics employed by his opponents, indeed, despite the claim that all matches would be umpired by a qualified official there were some notable lapses i.e. 'did not meet expectations'. One experienced official, who I will not name because of the Data Protection Act, but I can reveal that he lives not a million miles from Knotty Ash, had the temerity to question Derek's serving action. Since when has a 2.35 inch throw up been illegal? this vindictive action clearly upset Derek and several witnesses said that Derek was seen to wipe away a tear. This official also allowed a player to play in gloves despite Derek pointing out that it was 22 degrees outside. The whole affair so unsettled Derek that his impeccable forehand deserted him.

As a direct result of this infamy Graham Rollison retained his over 70's singles title despite once again failing to produce his Birth Certificate to the Referee. Talking of the Referee, I use the term advisedly, how is it that left handers were allowed to take part? In fact one group consisted of three lefties. How stupid is this? Add to this that some lefties use pimples and you can easily comprehend why Derek appeared to kick the barriers when in fact he had merely collided with the five barriers again. I have to admit that his strategy; that all his opponents this time would be a year older was fatally flawed.

Now I am not convinced that the Referee was not doing his utmost to damage the reputation of that august body of failed umpires called Referees, but he was certainly giving it a damn good try. Those of you who know this particular official will be aware that some of his best friends are referees (with friends like that who needs enemies) Can't remember who said that, was it T. S. Elliott or was it his brother Ken Eliot?.

The venue was brilliant, although there was a hint of erratic bounce, on Derek's side of the table, and it was quite weird how the light always seemed at its poorest no matter which end Derek was stationed.

The ladies did a fabulous job with the catering but it has to be said that dieticians would not have recommended three helpings of Joyce's delicious apple pie prior to crucial matches at this lofty level. The Saturday night shindig was again a huge success with some excellent fare with the now expected "University Challenge". The bonus kindly set up by John Mackey was the mystery trip; Find your way back to the hotel. Oh! by the way, the road has been closed whilst you tucked into your Burger and Chips. Full marks for enterprise went to Dot Macfarlane who called up the men in blue for an escort. Personally I have never used one of these agencies but she is obviously well versed in the practice?

Despite all of these faults the general opinion was to bring on 2012; and they were not referring to the Olympics.

J.K.J

British Open Para Tournament

I was at the tournament in Sheffield and I would like to ask NURC to try and organise a tournament so all umpires are on the same hymn sheet. There were CU/NU/IU and blue badge umpires and some of the more recent people who were at their first major tournament. Almost every one with a different view of what the instructions were when on the table. Having to march on with the players and then bowing to the audience, at the end of the match proceeding to do the same thing only this time when having bowed, shake hands with the other official. I have been umpiring for over 40 years and it seemed like I was one of the newcomers.

Geoff Taylor

WHAT A RACKET By Derek Sherratt

At every tournament umpires are given a variety of instructions by the referee, either verbally or in printed form. They usually include some reference to the covering of blades and if you are lucky a Schedule of Approved Racket Coverings.

The keenest among us will produce the schedule prior to each match whilst examining the blade and joyfully hotfoot it to the Referee to seek advice if there is the slightest suspected problem. I will not speculate on the usual response to this display of initiative, but that is by the bye.

Many of us will resolve to follow this procedure at the start of play but by lunch time have reverted to the norm of staring intently at the rubber without actually bothering to reach into ones pocket for the said schedule.

Time after time the usual suspects are there, 'Tenergy', 'Vario', 'Mark 5' then suddenly" whats this? Tyler Action 123 pimples in' or 'Welch Reverse Anti Loop;' now you are not so sure, better have a look; out comes the schedule.

Now I cannot of course speak for everyone but I have never actually been schooled in the wider interpretation of the schedule so that the whole document to me is couched in a sense of mystery.

The list comprises hundreds of different rubbers and is littered with notes. Some information is in italics and some bold. It reminds me of railway timetables which have added notes to be read in conjunction with the departure times such as 'stopping train', 'Tuesday and Thursday only' and 'only runs on market days'.

Imagine to my delight therefore to receive an ITTF Equipment Committee Document 'Advanced Umpire Training Racket Control'. Much of it only of direct interest to persons pursuing the Blue Badge. However it also includes a section called 'List of Authorised Racket Coverings (LARC)'.

Here for the first time was advice on how to tackle the question of coverings and I list

below a short extract which I hope will be of benefit to all umpires

Included in the ITTF Document are sections on>

Thickness.....FlatnessGloss..... VOC's

These are too lengthy for reproduction here. I have sent full details to the NURC so failing that contact me.

- 1Make certain you have the correct version. Check date and Schedule list number.
- 2..... Look for the ITTF logo
- 3..... Look for the supplier's name
- 4..... Look for brand name and possible extension
- 5..... Whenever possible, or in any doubt, check the internet list
- 6..... For administrative purposes the validity periods overlap by 3 months



AETTU COMPETITION 2011
HELD AT SCUNTHORPE TTC CLUB
23-24TH JULY 2011

This was the fourth AETTU competition and this year the number of players taking part increased to 26 and the standard of play from the participants is reaching higher standards. Ray Jackson, from Lancashire, was defending his title as holder of the Ken Armson Trophy from 2010. He was seriously tested by Dave Griffiths a new member from Lancashire who beat him in the final of the over 40's competition but lost to Ray in an exciting final of the Ken Armson Open Singles. The winners of competitions were:

EVENT	WINNER	RUNNER-UP
O40 Singles	Dave Griffiths	Ray Jackson
O50 Singles	Ray Jackson	Mark Kinlocke
O60 Singles	Alan Duke	Cliff Bell
O70 Singles	Graham Rollinson	Malcolm Makarian
Doubles	Tom Purcell/Ray Jackson	Lynda Reid/Dave Griffiths
Hard Bat	Dave Griffiths	Alan Duke
Open Singles	Ray Jackson	Dave Griffiths

The Scunthorpe TTC was an excellent venue with good parking, catering, playing and rest areas. A number of existing members entered the competition for the first time along with regular members who have supported us since the first event. This increased the standard of play and competition.

Refreshments were supplied by some of the members better halves and our thanks go to Joyce, Margaret, Barbara and Judy for all the hard work they put in. There were drinks to be had all day Saturday and on Sunday they provided drinks and also a buffet which needless to say was appreciated by all players. So once again thank you ladies.

The AETTU Competition, like the Association, is going from strength to strength and we want them both to get better. We will soon be starting to look forward to the competition for 2012. If you know of a suitable venue in your area please let us know and we will check it out to see if is suitable, or if you have any suggestions for a different format to the competition please let a committee member know and it will be discussed.

AETTU MEMBERS	NAMES & COUNTIES	
First Name	Surname	County
Tim	Harris	Avon
Mark	Kinlocke	Avon
Malcolm	Makarian	Berkshire
Terry	Boxhall	Buckinghamshire
Steve	Smith	Buckinghamshire
Derek	Sidaway	Cambridgeshire
Peter	Williams	Cheshire
John	Appleton	Cheshire
Peter	Degg	Cheshire
Bob	Evans	Cleveland
John	Lawton	Cleveland
Gary	Whyman	Cleveland
Les	Allwood	Derbyshire
Tony	Chatwin	Derbyshire
Lilian	Payne	Derbyshire
Mike	Payne	Derbyshire
Brian	Savage	Derbyshire
Jill	Knightly	Devonshire
Taff	Whiting	Devonshire
Ron	Douglas	Durham
Michael	Smith	Essex
Lyndon	Griffiths	Essex
Barry	Granger	Essex
Alan	Shepherd	Essex
Janie	Kirby	Gloucester
Gill	Smith	Gloucester
Alan	Thomas	Gloucester
Dave	Gough	Gloucester
David	Wiles	Hampshire
Mick	Reynolds	Hampshire
Hamish	Juttle	Herefordshire
David	Edwards	Hertfordshire
James	Taylor	Kent
Stan	Clarke	Lancashire
David	Cochrane	Lancashire
Martin	Ireland	Lancashire
Ray	Jackson	Lancashire
Eric	Pentlands	Lancashire
Tom	Purcell	Lancashire
George	Jervis	Lancashire
Russell	Thornton	Lancashire
Bob	Williamson	Lancashire
Dave	Griffiths	Lancashire
Dave	Goulden	Lancashire
Graham	Rollinson	Lancashire
Betty	Brown	Lincolnshire
Dave	Brown	Lincolnshire
George	Tyler	Lincolnshire
Sylvia	Tyler	Lincolnshire
John	Mackey	Lincolnshire

AETTU MEMBERS	NAMES AND COUNTIES	
First Name	Surname	County
Steve	Welch	Lincolnshire
Tony	Hemsall	Lincolnshire
Eileen	Allison	Lincolnshire
Peter	Edwards	Lincolnshire
Alex	Mercer	Lincolnshire
Dorothy	Fuller	Lincolnshire
Ken	Eliot	Lincolnshire
John	Malone	Lincolnshire
Bill	Moran	Lincolnshire
Russell	Sutcliffe	Middlesex
Brian	Rimmer	Nottinghamshire
Peter	Cowburn	Nottinghamshire
Dennis	Calver	Norfolk
Margaret	Calver	Norfolk
Chi Wei (Peter)	Yeung	Northumberland
Adrian	Barns	Northumberland
Steve	Ralph	Northumberland
Ken	Brown	Northumberland
Brian	Gibbs	Somerset
Tim	Jennings	Somerset
Richard	Walters	Surrey
Wilf	Rhodes	Staffordshire
Derek	Sherratt	Staffordshire
Cliff	Bell	Staffordshire
Brian	Watkins	Staffordshire
Tom	Davies	Staffordshire
Bob	Watts	Suffolk
Derek	Baseley	Sussex (East)
Neil	Curtis	Sussex (East)
Alan	White	Sussex (West)
Geoff	Taylor	Warwickshire
Bob	Montgomery	Warwickshire
Dot	Macfarlane	Warwickshire
Inky	Moss	Warwickshire
Nick	Osland	Wiltshire
Alan	Duke	Wiltshire
Martin	Clarke	Worcestershire
Lynda	Reid	Worcestershire
John	Hardcastle	Yorkshire (West)
Peter	Ryalls	Yorkshire (South)
Lewis	Ward	Yorkshire (North)
David	Wilson	Yorkshire
Rodney	Hullah	Yorkshire (West)
John	Wilkinson	Yorkshire (West)
Joshua	Reynolds	Yorkshire (West)

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

Rebecca Berfelt	Sweden	Brenda Munroe	Ireland
Jackie Williams	Wales	Keiron Choudhary	Ireland
Joe Finnegan	Ireland	Charles Brown	Scotland