

Treatment Report Conservation Programme to Monuments St Mary Magdalen, Fifehead, Dorset

1315

July 2018



# Revision

Date	Revision	Comments
	1	

# **Quality Assurance Review**

Prepared by: Sally Strachey ACR

Signature

Reviewed by:

Signature

Date:

Sally Strachey Historic Conservation Ltd The Barn Temple Cross House Lower Godney Wells Somerset BA5 1RZ

office@sshconservation.co.uk www.sshconservation.co.uk



#### Contents\_

5		
1	Image List	4
2	Location	9
3	Contract Brief Including Reference to 2016 Report	10
4	Improvements to the Building Envelope	10
4.1	Improvements to the External Environment	10
4.2	Improvements to the Internal Environment	10
5	Conservation of Monuments	18
5.1	Monument to Sir Richard Newman 1721 and Family	19
5.1.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	20
5.1.2	2018 Inspection	21
5.1.3	Dismantle	23
5.1.4	Cleaning and Conservation Programme	32
5.1.4.1	Cleaning Programme	33
5.1.4.2	Conservation Programme	40
5.1.5	Rebuilding Programme	48
5.1.6	Finishing Treatments	54
5.1.7	Paint Analysis to Black Border	59
5.1.8	Site Drawings	61
5.2	Thomas and Richard Newman Monument	66
5.2.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	67
5.2.2	Conservation Programme	67
5.2.3	Paint Analysis	72
5.3	Richard Newman Monument	73
5.3.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	73
5.3.2	Conservation Programme	73
5.4	Davidge Family Monument	77
5.4.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	77
5.4.2	Conservation Programme	79
5.4.2.1	Dismantle	79
5.4.2.2	Historic Wall Plaster	84
5.4.2.3	Cleaning Programme	86
5.4.2.4	Consolidation of Inscription Panel	91
5.4.2.5	Rebuild	94
5.4.2.6	Painting and Finishing	95
5.4.5	Site Drawings	99
5.5	William Mansell Peacock Monument	104
5.5.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	104
5.5.2	Dismantle	105
5.5.3	Rebuild	106
5.5.4	Cleaning Programme	106
5.5.5	Painting	106
5.5.6	Finishing	106
5.6	The Rev Edward Peacock Monument	107
5.6.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	107
5.6.2	Conservation Programme	107
5.7	Anne Wife of the Rev Edward Peacock Monument	108
5.7.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	108
5.7.2	Conservation Programme	108
5.8	Henry Forester Monument	109
5.8.1	Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Report	109
5.8.2	Conservation Programme	109
5.9	Royal Coat of Arms	110
5.9.1	Conditions and Recommendations in 2016 Report	110
5.9.2	Conservation Programme	111
6	Recipes and Suppliers	113



# 1. Imag<u>e List</u>

ELISL		
Figure 1	The Newman chapel before the conservation programme showing the electrics board on the west elevation and the numerous cupboards	8
Figure 2	Detail of the north elevation with the cracking through the plaster and loss of surface paint clearly visible	8
Figure 3	View of the ceiling before the conservation programme showing extensive cracking and previous patch repairs	
Figure 4	The Newman monument on the west elevation with the redundant water tank above and the piping down the north side of the border	
Figure 5	Detail of the extensive cracking to the ceiling	9
Figure 6	Section of the ceiling and wall on the west elevation after the removal of unstable sections of plaster	9
Figure 7	Further detail of the west side of the chapel after the removal of unstable sections of plaster	9
Figure 8	Reinstatement of plaster using a lime putty mix over area illustrated in Figure 7. New laths were used where necessary	9
Figure 9	The west elevation showing the reinstatement of the plaster with a lime putty mix	10
Figure 10	The east elevation illustrating the blending in of new to existing plaster. This proved to be problematic at first in creating a cohesive surface which would not detract from the monument above. Addition fine fillet repairs were applied with additional layers of limewash to achieve a satisfactory result	10
Figure 11	Further example of blending the surfaces together	10
Figure 12	The lower section of the Newman chapel showing the areas of re-plastering and additional coats of limewash	10
Figure 13	The east wall of the Newman chapel after the second coat of limewash	11
Figure 14	Detail of the relationship between the black border and the new limewash	11
Figure 15	The limewash around the monument to Sir Richard Newman on the north wall drying out after the second application	11
Figure 16		
Figure 17	The north and east elevations of the Newman chapel after the conservation programme	12
Figure 18	The east elevation of the Newman Chapel showing the faulty rainwater goods at the intersection with the chancel. The area of green biological staining can be seen adjacent to the downpipe where the rainwater is spilling over the wall	
Figure 19	Detail of the guttering shown in Figure 18 with the opportunity for rainwater to spill over the wall	12
Figure 20	Image from the 2016 report showing the west elevation with access to the underground area	13
Figure 21	Image from the 2016 report showing the build-up of leaves at the bottom of the downpipe on the west elevation	
Figure 22	An illustration of the Richard Newman monument laid out in an exploded view after dismantling	14
Figure 23	The Newman monument at the start of the project with the location of the electrics board and cables illustrated	
Figure 24	Illustration of the high levels of surface soiling and dust and debris over the monument	18
Figure 25	Beautifully carved portrait of Frances Newman before dismantling showing the ingrained dirt and surface soiling over the marble extent of dust and debris	18
Figure 26	Significant crack through the plinth to the bust of Samwell Newman due to the corrosion of the iron fixing. The bust was completely mobile and removed during the initial inspections	18
Figure 27	The bust to Samwell Newman removed revealing where the severely corroded iron fixing had exerted pressure on the marble causing multiple fractures through the marble	18
Figure 28	The sinister top corner of the backing panel to the medallion carvings showing the movement through the vertical joint of up to 25mm due to corroded iron fixings. Associated horizontal cracking can be seen through the marble frame and veneer	19
Figure 29	Detail of movement through the plinth to the central bust of Sir Richard Newman	19
Figure 30	Overall view of the busts to Sir Richard and Samwell Newman illustrating the point that is hard to see how unstable these portrait carvings are without a close inspection. The cracking through the veneer has been highlighted	19
Figure 31	Detail of the cracking through the veneer to the dexter of the sinister medallion carving	19
Figure 32	Corroded iron embedded into the central plinth which has caused the movement through joint resulting in the portrait bust of Sir Richard beginning to become mobile	21
Figure 33	Example of repeated measuring during dismantling	21
Figure 34	The three busts and plinths removed showing that the substantial sections of the obelisk appear to be unsupported	21
Figure 35	Closer view of the base of the obelisk stones with no structural support	22
Figure 36	The temporary system being installed beneath the obelisk	22
Figure 37	An image from the endoscope showing the gap between the two sections of the obelisk and the north wall of the chapel with no evidence of fixings to secure the sections to the wall	23
Figure 38	More corroded iron revealed during dismantling	23



Figure 39	Corroded iron fixing at the top of the central medallion	23
Figure 40	The large section of iron revealing itself as the dismantling progresses	23
Figure 41		
	with a second one revealed behind the dexter medallion carving	
Figure 42	Recording the size of the iron fixing	24
Figure 43	The iron section removed to show the fishtail design	24
Figure 44	The middle section nearly removed with the sinister iron fishtail section removed from the wall and the dexter still in situ	25
Figure 45	A large section of iron revealed during the removal of the bottom section	25
Figure 46	The corroded iron fixing which had split the central decorative corbel in two	25
Figure 47	The sinister gudgeon and pintle iron fixing revealing itself after the removal of the inscription panel. The significant fractures through the panel can also be seen	26
Figure 48	The fractured panel removed with all the broken sections and fragments being carefully recorded	26
Figure 49	The back of the lower panel showing the extensive damage caused by the corroded surface mounted iron cramps	26
Figure 50	Detail of the corroded gudgeon and pintle fixing after the removal of the lower section showing the failed lead	27
Figure 51	Detail of the corroded sinister gudgeon and pintle fixing with the failed lead	27
Figure 52	The wall and painted black border after the removal of the lower section of the monument with the large iron fixings visible	27
Figure 53	The four large iron fixings in all their glory which caused so much damage to the Newman monument	28
Figure 54	Example of a corroded fixing in the lower section of the monument	28
Figure 55	A corroded fixing removed	28
Figure 56	The back plate to the inscription panel with the corroded iron fixings being removed	29
Figure 57	Example of corroded iron being removed from the dexter corbel to the pediment displaying the three busts	29
Figure 58	Derota steam cleaner in action	29
Figure 59	Two stages of cleaning with the Derota	29
Figure 60	The portrait busts during the removal of surface dust and debris	30
Figure 61	The portrait bust towards the end of the cleaning programme	30
Figure 62	Detail of Lady Frances's drapery showing the quality of the marble and carving	30
Figure 63	Bust of Samwell Newman during the removal of surface dust and debris	31
Figure 64	Bust of Samwell Newman after cleaning	31
Figure 65	Portrait of Sir Richard after cleaning	31
Figure 66	Sir Richard after cleaning illustrating the high quality of the carving and in particular the linen shirt and overcoat	32
Figure 67	Dexter medallion portrait during the removal of surface dust and debris	32
Figure 68	The dexter medallion portrait after cleaning with the Derota	32
Figure 69	The central medallion portrait after cleaning with the Derota	33
Figure 70	Sinister medallion portrait after cleaning programme. The numerous fractures to the veneer and fracture to the backplate to the medallion can be seen	
Figure 71	Detail of the drapery to the dexter medallion portrait after cleaning showing the beautifully carved drapery	33
Figure 72	Overview of elements towards the end of the cleaning programme	34
Figure 73	Detail of architectural elements after cleaning	34
Figure 74	Decorative lower corbel after cleaning showing the attention to detail of the carving. The damage	35
J	caused by the corroded iron fixing can also be seen	
Figure 75	The back of the inscription panel showing the numerous corroded iron fixings and associated severe cracking	36
Figure 76	Damage to the veneer and backing stone due to the corroded iron fixings	37
Figure 77	Surface mounted corbel with fracturing the backing stone and corroded pins running through the veneer	37
Figure 78	Further example of corroded pins running through the veneer and the fractured backing stone	37
Figure 79	Iron fixings removed from behind the inscription panel and repairs to the frame being applied with plaster of Paris	
Figure 80	Iron fixings removed from behind the inscription panel and repairs to the frame being applied with plaster of Paris	38
Figure 81	Stainless steel cramps fitted	38
Figure 82	Threaded stainless steel cramp stitching two sections of the backing stone together	38
Figure 83	The sections of the veneer being reattached using Plaster of Paris	38
Figure 84	Section of reattached veneer on the dexter side next to the fixing hole for the large sections of iron	38
Figure 85	Sinister side of lower section with sections of veneer reattached and pin holes for the surface mounted decorative corbels visible	39
Figure 86	Scribed dates of death of the daughters on the inscription panel	39
Figure 87	Cracking seen through the red veneer before the conservation programme	40



Figure 88	The veneer during the removal of the three medallion carvings	40	
Figure 89	The fishtail iron fixings revealed behind the veneer	40	
Figure 90	The red veneer being reinstated with plaster of Paris during the rebuilding of the monument	40 41	
Figure 91	The middle panel during dismantling showing the location of the two fishtail iron fixings and resulting damage		
Figure 92	The sinister side of the middle panel during rebuilding showing the veneer being reinstated and surface repairs		
Figure 93	The sinister medallion carving showing the detached section and damaged veneer	41	
Figure 94	The detached section showing the fixing points for the pins	41	
Figure 95	The medallion carvings during the rebuilding process with the sinister portrait repaired	42	
Figure 96	The sinister but showing the repairs to the roundel and surface repairs to the surrounding red veneer	42	
Figure 97	Image of the cracking through the plinth and bust	43	
Figure 98 Figure 99	Image of the corroded iron fixing causing fracturing through the plinth The plinth repaired with plaster and secured with a stainless-steel pin	43 43	
Figure 100	Surface repairs to the back of the marble bust with toned plaster surface repairs	43	
Figure 100	Location of original and new fixings	45	
Figure 102	The wall behind the inscription panel section showing the rubble construction and with the iron sections still in place	46	
Figure 103	The lower section installed with the repairs to the veneer visible	46	
Figure 104	Example of a stainless-steel structural cramp securing the moulded section which supports the panel	47	
<u> </u>	above. The air gap can be seen behind the middle section		
Figure 105	The middle section reinstated	47	
Figure 106	The sinister side of the middle section reinstated including the scrolled side wings. The new supporting fixings to the grey limestone obelisk can be seen above	47	
Figure 107	Image illustrating the stainless-steel cramp tying the top of the middle panel into the wall and the dexter scrolled side wing waiting to be installed	47	
Figure 108	The middle section bust of Frances Newman and the marble blocks beneath the grey obelisk all reinstated	48	
Figure 109	Detail of the stainless-steel strap between the two sections of the obelisk and the air gap behind the middle section	48	
Figure 110	The busts of Frances and Sir Richard Newman and the central plinth reinstated with stainless steel cramps ready to be installed to tie the sections of the obelisk together		
Figure 111	The bust of Samwell Newman about to be installed. Two of the three medallions have been reinstated	49	
Figure 112	The corroded iron retaining cramps in place before removal	49	
Figure 113	The retaining cramps replaced with stainless steel and the surmounting marble ball reinstated. The coat of arms can be seen after cleaning	49	
Figure 114	The lower section of the monument after reinstatement showing the surface repairs to the veneer	50	
Figure 115	Detail of the reinstated and repaired veneer	50	
Figure 116	Fractured dexter decorative corbel adjacent to the inscription panel during the removal of the corroded	51	
Figure 117 Figure 118	The dexter corbel pinned and repaired Central corbel below inscription panel which was cracked through the upper section repaired and	51 51	
Figure 119	reinstated The lower section after surface preparation, toning in and application of microcrystalline wax	52	
Figure 120	The middle section and portrait busts after surface preparation and toning in to the red veneer and	52	
. 19010 120	microcrystalline wax to all elements	02	
Figure 121	Overview of the busts and medallion carvings after waxing	53	
Figure 122	Side view of portrait busts after waxing	53	
Figure 123	Lady Frances after conservation	53	
Figure 124	Samwell Newman after conservation	53	
Figure 125	Monument after conservation programme and redefinition of black border	54	
Figure 126	Elements that remained in situ during the dismantle and rebuild	57	
Figure 127	Areas of 5mm veneer historically glued to the backing stone with shellac	58	
Figure 128	The areas of veneer reset with plaster of Paris	59	
Figure 129	I Black border revived with a black gouache II Crack repairs with plaster of Paris toned in with acrylic paints	60	
Figure 130	Observations	61	
	I. The underside of the eye lid had black paint applied.		
	II. On the back of all three busts remains the original dirt build up. As this dirt does not appear on any other surface this suggests that this monument		
	was cleaned at some point. A possible works program applied went the church was		
	reordered at the turn of the century. Some of the pins on the marble segments which	1	
	have been stuck on also contain copper pins. The original pins/cramps are all iron,		
	again copper was not used when this monument was constructed.		



		1
	III. Black paint was found on the underside of the shelf. Possible black lines were applied.	
	<ul> <li>IV. Red paint found.</li> <li>V. The inscription was originally carved with the dates of the daughters' deaths empty.</li> </ul>	
	V. The inscription was originally carved with the dates of the daughters' deaths empty. These were then carved in as each one passed away. In total there are four letter	
	cutters hands at work here. With the letter cutting being light, the monument was in	
	place when this was done.	
	VI. Pink/white Limewash and black paint spats were found on the upper surface of the	
	shelf, careless decorators of the chapel.	
Figure 131	Monument to Thomas and Richard Newton before conservation	62
Figure 132	The central alabaster cartouche of arms before cleaning	64
Figure 133 Figure 134	Central coat of arms after cleaning Dexter cartouche of arms before cleaning	64 64
Figure 134 Figure 135	Dexter cartouche of arms after cleaning	64
Figure 136	Sinister cartouche of arms before cleaning	65
Figure 130	Sinister cartouche of arms after cleaning	65
Figure 138	Substrate to detached marble plaque showing evidence of corroded fixings	65
Figure 139	The lower section of the monument with the marble plaque reinstated and the surfaces cleaned.	66
. igure ree	Microcrystalline wax has been applied to the marble and grey limestone. The black border has been	
	retouched to add definition	
Figure 140	The Richard and Thomas Newman monument after completion n the setting of the Newman chapel	66
Figure 141	The Richard and Thomas Newman monument after conservation	67
Figure 142	The monument before conservation	70
Figure 143	Detail of the inscription panel before conservation showing the reduced legibility	70
Figure 144	The inscription panel after cleaning	70
Figure 145	Detail of the lettering after cleaning showing traces of black paint	71
Figure 146	The panel after cleaning and re-lettering to improve the legibility of this record of the Newman family	71
Figure 147	The Richard Newman monument after conservation with the black paint to the frame enhanced	72
Figure 148	The Richard Newman monument after conservation	72
Figure 149	The Davidge monument before conservation	74
Figure 150	Detail of the stone frame with heavy staining from bat urine	74
Figure 151	Corroded iron cramp being measured against the depth of the monument and plaster infill	74
Figure 152	Further detail of the stone frame illustrating the heavy staining from bat urine	74
Figure 153	Detail of the inscription panel showing the lamination to the across the surface of the lias	75
Figure 154	Lamination of the surface of the inscription panel seen from below	75
Figure 155	The monument being measured before dismantling and evidence of a corroded iron side fixing tying the side into the wall	76
Figure 156	Measurements being taken across the top section. The general soiling to the surface and the gold to the	76
Ũ	flames surmounting the urn diminished	
Figure 157	The broken pediment and urn removed	76
Figure 158	View from above showing the construction of the monument	76
Figure 159	Image of embedded iron fixing below upper section showing evidence of corrosion	77
Figure 160	The corroded iron fixing in figure 159 removed	77
Figure 161	Corroded iron fixing tying the section above the inscription panel to the wall. Level applied to assess where the monument is not plumb	77
Figure 162	The cornice below the broken pediment removed to reveal first sight of the historic plaster	77
Figure 163	Measurements continuing to be taken including the air gap between the monument and plaster	78
Figure 164	Section of historic plaster revealed	78
Figure 165	Image of top section of the inscription panel and air gap behind	78
Figure 166	Corroded iron fixing tying the sinister side of the inscription frame into the wall	78
Figure 167	The inscription panel removed onto the scaffolding. The holding repairs can be seen on the panel	79
Figure 168	Corbel section retained in situ	79
Figure 169	Overall view of the historic wall plaster with traces of colour beneath the layers of limewash	80
Figure 170	Detail of the red and ochre	80
Figure 171	Detail of the red with the black also visible	80
Figure 172	Cleaning trials being carried out on the Bath stone	82
Figure 173	The gilded flames being cleaned with white spirit	83
Figure 174	Trials underway to reduce the staining caused by bat urine	83
		83
Figure 175	Bath stone section towards the end of the cleaning programme	
Figure 176	Image of decorative detail above inscription panel after cleaning	84
Figure 176 Figure 177	Image of decorative detail above inscription panel after cleaning Moulded cornice after cleaning with stains from bat urine removed	84 84
Figure 176 Figure 177 Figure 178	Image of decorative detail above inscription panel after cleaning Moulded cornice after cleaning with stains from bat urine removed Dismantled upper elements after cleaning	84 84 85
Figure 176 Figure 177	Image of decorative detail above inscription panel after cleaning Moulded cornice after cleaning with stains from bat urine removed	84 84



Figure 181	Section of the inscription panel after the removal of surface dust and debris with soft brushes	86
Figure 182	Detail of the upper sinister corner of the inscription panel after the surface dust and debris has been removed	86
Figure 183	Image of early stages of consolidation including injecting behind the surface and applying repairs to fragile edges	87
Figure 184	Overall view of consolidation repairs to the upper section of the inscription panel	87
Figure 185	Detail of consolidation repairs during application	88
Figure 186	Upper section of the inscription panel after consolidation	88
Figure 187	Detail of the consolidation repairs to the lower section of the inscription panel	89
Figure 188	Overall view of the inscription panel after consolidation	89
Figure 189	Detail of the upper sinister corner of the inscription panel showing the consolidation repairs	89
Figure 190	The top section of the monument during the rebuild with the wedges still in place to ensure the correct profile	90
Figure 191	Detail of the wedges holding the sections in position	91
Figure 192	The Davidge monument after the rebuild	91
Figure 193	The black gouache being applied to the background to the lettering	92
Figure 194	Detail of the upper sinister corner during mottling the black	92
Figure 195	First application of black gouache mottled back	92
Figure 196	The black gouache being applied over the lower section of the inscription panel	93
Figure 197	An overall image of the inscription panel towards the end of treatment	93
Figure 198	The Davidge monument after treatment	94
Figure 199	Iron fixings I. Iron dog cramps parallel to wall II. Iron dog cramps perpendicular to wall III. Rosettes historically glued with shellac resin. IV Iron pin. This was left in situ as to remove it would cause more damage the shaft of the urn. Advise to monitor	95
Figure 200	Observations during dismantle       I.       Corbels remained in situ while the rest of the monument was taken down and rebuilt       II.         II.       Cement joints, the cement joints were the only ones found on the Davidage monument, along with some cement behind the upper sinister pediment (Davidage Drawing No.3, item No. II).         III.       The monument apart from the ledger is cut from limestone, (Bath stone)         IV       The Ledger is made from mudstone, (Blue lias). The letters         V       Traces of buff coloured lime wash.	96
Figure 201	Observations after dismantling         I.       Area of neat cement applied behind the sinister pediment.         II.       Pink over white limewash on the stone pediment. Either the nave or around the monument was painted pink like the Newman chapel.         III.       Area behind the monument where the historic paint and lime plaster remain on the wall. See paint analysis by Catherine Hassel for results of paint samples taken.         IV       The carved flames at the top of the urn had been gilded, over red paint. The red paint was also used to give depth within the relief carving.	97
Figure 202	Areas affected by bat urine	98 99
Figure 203	Repairs and painted finishes I Area conserved and the surface of the lias was blackened with gouache paint.	
Figure 204	The Peacock monument before treatment. Corroded iron fixings can be seen along the bottom of the monument	100
Figure 205	Corroded iron fixing tying the Peacock monument into the wall into the wall	101
Figure 206	The iron fixing above now removed from the wall, so the high level of corrosion and expansion can be seen	101
Figure 207	The Peacock monument after treatment	102
Figure 208	The monument to Henry Forester after treatment	106
Elaura 200	The Royal Coat of Arms before treatment	107
Figure 209	On the left a detail of the Coat of Arms at the start of cleaning and on the right after cleaning	107
Figure 210		
	On the left a detail of the Coat of Arms at the start of cleaning and on the right after cleaning	107
Figure 210		
Figure 210 Figure 211	On the left a detail of the Coat of Arms at the start of cleaning and on the right after cleaning	107



Name	Church of St Mary Magdalen, Fifehead Magdalen
Address	Fifehead Magdalen, North Dorset, SP8
County	Dorset
District	North Dorset
Parish	Fifehead Magdalen
DAC	
Church Building	
Designation	Grade II
Listing Date	14 <sup>th</sup> June 1984
Historic England Source ID	1171327
English Heritage ID	102672
Contract Administrator	
Surveyor	Philip Hughes
Address	Philip Hughes Associates
	Tout Hill, Wincanton, Somerset, BA5 9DL
Tel:	01963 824240
Email:	info@pha-building-conservation.co.uk
Client	PCC St Mary Magdalen, Fifehead Magdalen
	Rosemary Redwood
Monuments	
North Chapel	
North Elevation	Sir Richard Newman Bart 1721
	Wife - Frances 1730
	Son- Samwell 1747
	Daughter – Frances 1775
	Daughter- Barbara 1763
	Daughter – Elizabeth 1774
East Elevation	Thomas Newman 1649
	Son- Richard Newman 1664
West Elevation	Richard Newman 1683 stone tablet recording transfe
	of coffin 1772
Nave	
North Elevation	George Davidge 1772
	Wife- Joan1759
	Son- John 1744
	Hester- 1758
	George- 1772
North Elevation	William Mansell Peacock 1811
South Elevation	
Chancel	
North Elevation	The Rev Edward Peacock MA 1848
	Anne wife of the Rev Edward Peacock MA 1832
South Elevation	The Rev Henry Forester MA 1819
South Elevation Chancel North Elevation North Elevation	William Mansell Peacock 1811         Royal Coat of Arms Late 18th century         The Rev Edward Peacock MA 1848         Anne wife of the Rev Edward Peacock MA 1832



The 2018 Treatment Report should be read in conjunction with the 2016 Outline Condition Assessment and Conservation Recommendations by Humphries and Jones

# 3. Contract Brief Including Reference to 2016 Report

In August 2016 the PCC of St Mary Magdalen Church, Fifehead Magdalen commissioned a condition and recommendation report on the internal wall monuments and Royal Coat of Arms. Concern had been raised in relation to structural cracking, surface deterioration and loss to the Richard Newman and Davidge monuments. In addition, the overall appearance of all the monuments and Royal Coat of Arms had been diminished due to surface soiling, accumulations of dust and debris and reduced legibility of the inscriptions.

The 2016 report identified structural movement through the upper section of the monument to Sir Richard Newman, corroded iron fixings to the William Mansell Peacock Monument, accelerated loss to the inscription panel to the Davidge monument and general surface soiling and surface damage to all monuments and Royal Coat of Arms. The survey was carried out from ground level and no survey cover meter survey was carried out to identify the locations of the structural fixings to the monuments.

The results of the report triggered a tender process to carry out the recommendations detailed in the 2016 report with the following additions:

- 1. To carry out a full dismantle of the Sir Richard Newman Monument.
- 2. To include the dismantle of the inscription panel and frame to the Davidge monument.
- 3. To reorder the electric cables on the west elevation of the Newman chapel and install a cupboard to Contract Administrator's design to box in the electrics board.
- 4. To assess the condition of the plaster in the Newman Chapel and remove all cement repairs and unstable sections. Reinstate removed plaster with lime putty plaster with three to four coats of toned limewash.

Due to the commitment and dedication of the Fifehead Magdalen PCC and in particular Rosemary Redwood funds were raised to address the issues raised in the 2016 report and the additional items. resulted in funds being raised to address the significant structural issues present in both monuments, carry out improvements to the building envelope and presentation of the monuments.

Sally Strachey Conservation won the contract through open tender with the main contract running from January 2018 to July 2018.

# 4. Improvements to the Building Envelope

# 4.1 The External Environment

The 2016 report referred to evidence of previous repair programme and areas of algae on the east wall which may indicate faulty rainwater goods. The recommendation in the report was for the PCC to regularly check the rainwater goods. No further interventions to the exterior fabric were tasked to Sally Strachey Historic Conservation within this contract.

# 4.1.2 The Internal Environment

No recommendations were made to improve the internal environment within the 2016 report. However, Philip Hughes had noted that the plaster to the Newman Chapel was in poor state of repair with areas of cement patch repair at lower level and live sections across all elevations. The overall appearance of the plaster and painted finish also contributed to diminishing the impact of the Newman monuments. It was agreed by all parties that the removal of the areas of cement and failed plaster followed by the reinstatement with a porous lime putty plaster finishes with a toned limewash would not only enhance the



appearance of the monuments but also introduce a wide surface area through which moisture and soluble salts could transfer. A critical consideration during this intervention was to not disturb the painted black border to the Newman monuments which is believed to part of the original design.

The plaster and flagstones at ground level on the west, north and east elevations show areas of raised moisture with associated biological staining and salt efflorescence over the floor. This is associated with the faulty rainwater goods on the west and east elevations. The gutter at the intersection of the east wall of the Newman Chapel with the chancel fails to collect the rainwater from the hidden gutter beneath the roof tiles. The result is that the rainwater pours down the wall causing raised levels of moisture through the fabric and at ground level. On the west side of the chapel the 2016 report noted issues with the underground area, rainwater goods and build-up of leaves at the base of the downpipe. We would recommend that rainwater goods to the Newman chapel and ground drainage are reviewed at the earliest opportunity

Immediately above the Richard Newman Monument recording the transfer of a coffin was redundant water tank and a surface mounted pipe running down the north edge. This was removed with the surface repaired with lime mortar where necessary.

The electrics board located on the west elevation of the Newman was unfortunately positioned right next to the lower section of the Newman monument on the east elevation resulting in a significant eyesore. Philip Hughes instructed the board to be moved to the south end of the Newman chapel and covered with a cupboard in limed oak. The relocation of the board and installation of the meter cover made a significant improvement to the overall setting of the monuments.







Figure 1: The Newman chapel before the conservation programme showing the electrics board on the west elevation and the numerous cupboards.







Figure 3: View of the ceiling before the conservation programme showing extensive cracking and previous patch repairs

Figure 4: The Newman monument on the west elevation with the redundant water tank above and the piping down the north side of the border







Figure 5: Detail of the extensive cracking to the ceiling





Figure 7: Further detail of the west side of the chapel after the removal of unstable sections of plaster



Figure 8: Reinstatement of plaster using a lime putty mix over area illustrated in Figure 7. New laths were used where necessary





Figure 9: The west elevation showing the reinstatement of the plaster with a lime putty mix



Figure 10: The east elevation illustrating the blending in of new to existing plaster. This proved to be problematic at first in creating a cohesive surface which would not detract from the monument above. Addition fine fillet repairs were applied with additional layers of limewash to achieve a satisfactory result



Figure 11: Further example of blending the surfaces together



Figure 12: The lower section of the Newman chapel showing the areas of re-plastering and additional coats of limewash





Figure 13: The east wall of the Newman chapel after the second coat of limewash

Figure 14: Detail of the relationship between the black border and the new limewash



Figure 15: The limewash around the monument to Sir Richard Newman on the north wall drying out after the second application







Figure 16: The Newman chapel after the conservation Figure programme showing the electrics board moved to the south end and covered with the limed oak cupboard. The monument above is now free of the water tank and piping. The damp areas at ground level is due to raised levels of moisture caused by faulty rainwater

Figure 17: The north and east elevations of the Newman chapel after the conservation programme



Figure 18: The east elevation of the Newman Chapel showing the faulty rainwater goods at the intersection with the chancel. The area of green biological staining can be seen adjacent to the downpipe where the rainwater is spilling over the wall



Figure 19: Detail of the guttering shown in Figure 18 with the opportunity for rainwater to spill over the wall







Figure 20: Image from the 2016 report showing the west elevation with access to the underground area

Figure 21: Image from the 2016 report showing the build up of leaves at the bottom of the downpipe on the west elevation



# 5. Conservation of Monuments

# Site Set Up

Careful consideration had to be given to the logistics of dismantling a substantial monument in such a confined space. The design of the scaffolding including necessary adjustments had to be thought through to take the correct lifting equipment at each stage of the dismantling process.

The site set up also needed to be well thought through in order to design and install a shelving system which could safely store the numerous sections in an exploded view.



Figure 22: An illustration of the Richard Newman monument laid out in an exploded view after dismantling



# 5.1 Monument to Sir Richard Newman 1721 and Family attributed to Sir Henry Cheere Wife - Frances 1730 Son- Samwell 1747 Daughter – Frances 1775 Daughter- Barbara 1763 Daughter – Elizabeth 1774

Location	The North wall of the Newman Chapel
Date	1721-1750
Dimensions	Height 4000 x Width 1880 x Depth 290
Materials	White marble
	Veined marble veneers
	Dark grey limestone
	Painted armorial
	Incised lettering painted black
	Limestone backing plates through lower sections
Description	
Sculptor	This celebrated monument is attributed to the eminent 18 <sup>th</sup> century sculptor Sir Henry Cheere. It is known that Cheere lived close by to the Newman family in London and the busts have the quality of detailed portraiture characteristic of an artist who knew his patrons.



Figure 23: The Newman monument at the start of the project with the location of the electrics board and cables illustrated



# 5.1.1 Condition and Recommendations in 2016 Condition Report Condition

"General ~ The structural condition of this monument is poor. Cracks are evident to the north elevation on both the east and west of the monument. These extend up to and across the ceiling.

Losses ~ At least one marble element is detached or lost.

Ferrous fixings ~ The upper half of the monument has moved away from the wall. This is likely to have been caused by corroding iron fixings. The lower half of the monument is more stable.

Stability ~ the corrosion of the fixings is on-going and movement is noted particularly at high level. Joints are open. Bronze dowels were noted to support some of the smaller elements. These are stable.

Cracks ~ Some of the marble elements are cracked, broken and at least one has detached.

Soiling ~ The monument is dirty and the covered with a large amount of loose dust and debris.

Polychromy ~ The inscription is in-painted and legible, however some of paint is lost giving it an uneven appearance. A painted black border frames the monument on the plastered wall. Although this has been repainted it is likely to be over an original similar border. It would be interesting to establish if this exists and the extent of the border.

# 2016 Recommendations

- The structural stability of the north elevation has been further assessed by a Structural Engineer. Please refer to engineer's report. It is understood that the report surmised that the wall was deemed safe.
- External work to the elevation is evident including repointing but the extent of this is unknown. It would be useful to locate any records of the work externally to the north chapel, including roof works.
- Record location of all elements to ensure re-assembly in the original position.
- Collect, label and bag detached fragments for reinstatement during conservation works. Record the location where all fragments are found
- Carefully dismantle upper sections. Commence with the busts. Allow for any holding conservation and protections required during the lifting and moving. Set to one side and protect. It is hoped and anticipated that the lower sections may remain in situ. All efforts must be made to retain the painted plaster surrounding the monument, in particular the painted black border. This may not be possible in all instances.
- Assess lower sections
- Examine the structure behind. (Determine necessity for and extent of further interventions.)



- Fill cracks to north elevation. Monitor further opening for signs of movement and structural instability.
- Remove remains of pointing and bedding mortars.
- Remove corroding ferrous cramps/fixings.
- Re-fix dismantled elements on wall incorporating a DPM using stainless steel 316 armatures.
- Repair elements using stainless steel and epoxy resin (Akemi or Marmolit).
- Clean all elements in-situ and ex-situ using selected methods based on the results of the trials.
- Re-fix busts bedding on Plaster of Paris and incorporating stainless steel pins / cramps where necessary.
- Re-point and fill all joints with Plaster of Paris.
- Consolidate friable polychromy and applied decoration (inscription and surround)

As noted above the Contract Administrator, Philip Hughes, instructed that a full dismantle should be allowed for due to evidence of cracking and movement through all sections.

# 5.1.2 2018 Inspection

Before and during the dismantling process time was spent measuring and recording dimensions of each section of the construction; distance apart, span, depth and joint sizes. This was essential to be able to reconstruct the monument to the correct profile.

As soon as access was available the monument was given a thorough inspection. The initial assessment recorded the following:

- Measurements were taken of various points and places and positions of elements and photographed to document locations and specifics for the rebuild
- A cover meter survey recorded internal fixings throughout all sections of the monument.
- A significant build up dirt, grime and surface debris over all elements.
- The sinister bust was found to be dangerously loose due to corrosion through the iron fixing exerting pressure on the surrounding marble. <u>https://instagram.com/p/BmQmltPhj1J/</u>
- Sinister top corner of pink panel has significant crack and had shifted forward by 25mm at the top joint.
- Crack was also visible through to the top of the central panel above medallion carving of centre sister.
- Movement found through the dexter side of the plinth to the central medallion.





Figure 24: Illustration of the high levels of surface soiling and dust and debris over the monument



Figure 25: Beautifully carved portrait of Frances Newman before dismantling showing the ingrained dirt and surface soiling over the marble extent of dust and debris



Figure 26: Significant crack through the plinth to the bust of Samwell Newman due to the corrosion of the iron fixing. The bust was completely mobile and removed during the initial inspections



Figure 27: The bust to Samwell Newman removed revealing where the severely corroded iron fixing had exerted pressure on the marble causing multiple fractures through the marble





Figure 28: The sinister top corner of the backing panel to the medallion carvings showing the movement through the vertical joint of up to 25mm due to corroded iron fixings. Associated horizontal cracking can be seen through the marble frame and veneer



Figure 29: Detail of movement through the plinth to the central bust of Sir Richard Newman



Figure 30: Overall view of the busts to Sir Richard and Samwell Newman illustrating the point that is hard to see how unstable these portrait carvings are without a close inspection. The cracking through the veneer has been highlighted



Figure 31: Detail of the cracking through the veneer to the dexter of the sinister medallion carving



# 5.1.3 Dismantle

The dismantling of the Newman monument was particularly challenging due to the numerous iron fixings throughout the monument. As the dismantling progressed the extent of both embedded and surface mounted iron became apparent. The volumetric increase of the corroded iron had undermined the structural integrity of the whole monument and caused significant damage to the veneer and decorative elements. Great care had to exercised during the dismantling of the monument to prevent an uncontrolled collapse and to retain every fragment of decoration released from the monument. Both the embedded and surface mounted iron fixings has caused extensive damage to the backing plates to the veneers and to the marble detail demanding detailed recording throughout.

The sequence for the dismantling of the Newman monument was as follows:

- The three busts and plinths were lifted off first and taken to the storage area.
- As soon as the busts were removed the large grey stones forming the obelisk could be properly
  assessed. The sections to the obelisk were found to be completely unsupported and in immediate
  danger of collapse. Immediate action was taken to install a temporary support using the
  scaffolding with 12mm threaded bar installed into the wall along the bottom bed of the triangle to
  support the weight of the obelisk stones.
- Investigations were carried out behind the monument with an endoscope which did not provide information or evidence of any internal fixings to secure the obelisk. It worryingly appeared that the full weight of the obelisk stones was relying solely on the two small sections of stone underneath and a retaining cramp at the top below the surmounting marble ball.
- At this stage we had first sight of the large section of iron causing damage to the veneer behind the three medallion carvings of the sisters.
- The three medallion carvings were removed next. Each medallion was fixed with three pins, some of which were found to be brown and rusty, and one top iron cramp all of which were suffering from corrosion.
- The top sinister corner of the backing panel to the medallions was carefully removed and the damaged areas of veneer carefully recorded and marked up before being taken to the storage area. This operation uncovered to huge iron "hanger "sections. These were extracted from the wall to reveal its size and fish tail design.
- The panel was freed from the iron, recorded, marked up and taken to the storage area.
- A section of historic plaster was found behind this section of the monument with an ochre limewash. The plaster was consolidated and secured to the wall using repair lime mortars before the rebuild.
- The central decorative 'corbel' had to be removed in two sections due to having been fractured by a corroded iron cramp.
- After all three corbels were removed it was not clear how this panel had been secured to the wall. At this stage we had to assume it could be similar to the panel above.
- The first stage to dismantle this section was to remove the thin section of marble making up the inscription panel which was mounted onto the backing stone. This revealed two large sections of corroded iron which has caused multiple cracks through the panel.
- The panel was extremely damaged and in a dangerous condition, a fact which was unknown to the Strachey team, Philip Hughes and the PCC prior to the works programme.
- The large fixings were actually slightly different on this panel as they were Gudgeon and Pintle. The Gudgeon has been bedded with molten lead into the back of the panel and then it has been lowered onto the pintles. The pintles had rusted solid and therefore the two couldn't be seperated. The molten pour had failed and the extent of the damage to the panel was extensive.
- The large sections of grey limestone were retained in situ.







Figure 32: Corroded iron embedded into the central plinth which has caused the movement through joint resulting in the portrait bust of Sir Richard beginning to become mobile

Figure 33: Example of repeated measuring during dismantling



Figure 34: The three busts and plinths removed showing that the substantial sections of the obelisk appear to be unsupported





Figure 35: Closer view of the base of the obelisk stones with no structural support



Figure 36: The temporary system being installed beneath the obelisk







Figure 37: An image from the endoscope showing the gap between the two sections of the obelisk and the north wall of the chapel with no evidence of fixings to secure the sections to the wall

Figure 38: More corroded iron revealed during dismantling



Figure 39: Corroded iron fixing at the top of the central medallion



Figure 40: The large section of iron revealing itself as the dismantling progresses





Figure 41: All three medallion carvings and damaged veneer removed to clearly show huge hanger iron section with a second one revealed behind the dexter medallion carving



Figure 42: Recording the size of the iron fixing



Figure 43: The iron section removed to show the fishtail design





Figure 44: The middle section nearly removed with the sinister iron fishtail section removed from the wall and the dexter still in situ



Figure 45: A large section of iron revealed during the removal of the bottom section



Figure 46: The corroded iron fixing which had split the central decorative corbel in two





Figure 47: The sinister gudgeon and pintle iron fixing revealing itself after the removal of the inscription panel. The significant fractures through the panel can also be seen



Figure 48: The fractured panel removed with all the broken sections and fragments being carefully recorded



Figure 49: The back of the lower panel showing the extensive damage caused by the corroded surface mounted iron cramps







Figure 50: Detail of the corroded gudgeon and pintle fixing after the removal of the lower section showing the failed lead

Figure 51: Detail of the corroded sinister gudgeon and pintle fixing with the failed lead



Figure 52: The wall and painted black border after the removal of the lower section of the monument with the large iron fixings visible





Figure 53: The four large iron fixings in all their glory which caused so much damage to the Newman monument

#### 5.1.4 Cleaning and Conservation Programme

The cleaning and conservation programmes were a technical challenge due to the extent of the damage caused by the numerous iron fixings throughout the monument. A priority was also to remove all trace of the corroded iron fixings bedded into the marble before the repair programme to get a true picture of the extent of the damage.



Figure 54: Example of a corroded fixing in the lower section of the Figure 55: A corroded fixing removed monument





Figure 56: The back plate to the inscription panel with the corroded iron fixings being removed

Figure 57: Example of corroded iron being removed from the dexter corbel to the pediment displaying the three busts

#### 5.1.4.1 Cleaning Programme

After trials the cleaning for all the marble and polished limestone surfaces was carried out using the Derota steam cleaner system. The minimum amount of water was used to lift the soiling and staining off the surface. As soon as the soiling was mobilised it was removed with cotton wool and the surface dried off with clean cotton wool.

Where historical paint layers remained, these areas were treated with *Mix 1* applied with cotton wool swabs. These areas were the central part of the upper crest, the Dexter bust's eyes and the lettering on the inscription panel.

Mix 1 50% Distilled water 50% White spirit 02% Non-ionic detergent.





Figure 58: Derota steam cleaner in action

Figure 59: Two stages of cleaning with the Derota





Figure 60: The portrait busts during the removal of surface dust and debris

Figure 61: The portrait bust towards the end of the cleaning programme



Figure 62: Detail of Lady Frances's drapery showing the quality of the marble and carving





Figure 63: Bust of Samwell Newman during the removal of surface dust and debris



Figure 64: Bust of Samwell Newman after cleaning



Figure 65: Portrait of Sir Richard after cleaning





Figure 66: Sir Richard after cleaning illustrating the high quality of the carving and in particular the linen shirt and overcoat



Figure 67: Dexter medallion portrait during the removal of surface dust and debris



Figure 68: The dexter medallion portrait after cleaning with the Derota




Figure 69: The central medallion portrait after cleaning with the Derota



Figure 70: Sinister medallion portrait after cleaning programme. The numerous fractures to the veneer and fracture to the backplate to the medallion can be seen



Figure 71: Detail of the drapery to the dexter medallion portrait after cleaning showing the beautifully carved drapery





Figure 72: Overview of elements towards the end of the cleaning programme



Figure 73: Detail of architectural elements after cleaning





Figure 74: Decorative lower corbel after cleaning showing the attention to detail of the carving. The damage caused by the corroded iron fixing can also be seen



## 5.1.4.2 Conservation Programme

### The Inscription Panel and Lower Surround

The inscription panel was made of white marble, set onto a white and grey backing stone. The inscription panel was only 10mm thick. The corroded iron fixings behind the panel had put considerable pressure on the marble which had also been weakened by the high levels of damp within the surrounding fabric. This combination of decay mechanisms had caused the thin section of marble to fracture.

Around the inscription tablet is set a light brown marble veneer which runs in a ribbon beneath the tablet and becomes more substantial on the flanks. On the flanks are set two carved white marble corbels. As with inscription panel the pressure from the rusting iron rusting had pushed the veneer away from the base stone. Some of the pieces separated along the cut lines others cracked along the weak beds. The veneer had originally been set in shellac resin.

All fractured sections of the veneers were retained and recorded before they were reinstated using plaster of Paris after the inscription panel was set in place.

The grey and white marble base stone had suffered the same fate as the inscription tablet and broken where the iron fixings had expanded and sections along weak beds had become sugary.

The sections were re assembled by using a combination of stainless steel fixings, resin and plaster of Paris to strengthen the cracked sections.



The final surface repairs and toning in were done after the lower section of the monument was reinstated.

Figure 75: The back of the inscription panel showing the numerous corroded iron fixings and associated severe cracking





Figure 76: damage to the veneer and backing stone due to the corroded iron fixings





Figure 77: Surface mounted corbel with fracturing the backing stone and corroded pins running through the veneer

Figure 78: Further example of corroded pins running through the veneer and the fractured backing stone







Figure 79: Iron fixings removed from behind the inscription panel and repairs to the frame being applied with plaster of Paris

Figure 80: Iron fixings removed from behind the inscription panel and repairs to the frame being applied with plaster of Paris



Figure 81: Stainless- steel cramps fitted





Figure 83: The sections of the veneer being reattached using Plaster of Paris

Figure 82: Threaded stainless-steel cramp stitching two sections of the backing stone together



Figure 84: Section of reattached veneer on the dexter side next to the fixing hole for the large sections of iron







Figure 85: Sinister side of lower section with sections of veneer reattached and pin holes for the surface mounted decorative corbels visible

Figure 86: Scribed dates of death of the daughters on the inscription panel

## **Red Marble Veneer Panel**

The red marble veneer was fixed to a bath stone backing plate and flanked by marble scrolls displayed on an ochre veneer. This veneer was originally fixed in place with shellac, whereas the white marble sides were fixed using plaster of Paris. The Bath stone backing because of the iron fixings had broken into sections with a higher level of damage on the sinister side.

The broken sections were pinned together with stainless steel threaded bar set in resin. For extra support the cracks were filled with plaster of Paris.

This fragmentation of the backing plate had caused the veneer to become detached from their substrate. The loose sections were removed, logged and stored for reattachment. The white marble sides were also removed for cleaning.

The veneer and the sides were re-fixed using plaster of Paris.

The final surface treatments were undertaken after the monument had been rebuilt.







Figure 87: Cracking seen through the red veneer before the conservation programme



Figure 89: The fishtail iron fixings revealed behind the veneer

Figure 88: The veneer during the removal of the three medallion carvings



Figure 90: The red veneer being reinstated with plaster of Paris during the rebuilding of the monument.







Figure 91: The middle panel during dismantling showing the location of the two fishtail iron fixings and resulting damage

Figure 92: The sinister side of the middle panel during rebuilding showing the veneer being reinstated and surface repairs.

# **Medallion Portraits of the Three Sisters**

The dexter and middle portraits did not need any intervention other than cleaning.

The sinister portrait needed the portrait resetting on a bed of plaster and the lower section of the oval, which had become detached, re-fixed. The latter was achieved using stainless steel fixings, resin and plaster of Paris.



Figure 93: The sinister medallion carving showing the detached section and damaged veneer



Figure 94: The detached section showing the fixing points for the pins







Figure 95: The medallion carvings during the rebuilding process with the sinister portrait repaired

Figure 96: The sinister but showing the repairs to the roundel and surface repairs to the surrounding red veneer



### **Three Marble Busts**

The Sinister bust was broken around the base of the carving, just above the marble plinth stone wherethe iron pin rusted and had expanded. The pin was removed, and the fragments cleaned. The fragments were then glued and pinned in place. The cracks were filled with plaster of Paris and painted to match the marble.

The Dexter bust was found to have black paint on her eyelids which did not look like accidental drips. All the busts had paint drips present including pink, black and cream. These drips had happened during the decoration of the chapel and the black border.



Figure 97: Image of the cracking through the plinth and bust



Figure 98: Image of the corroded iron fixing causing fracturing through the plinth



Figure 99: The plinth repaired with plaster and secured with a stainless-steel pin



Figure 100: Surface repairs to the back of the marble bust with toned plaster surface repairs



## 5.1.5 Rebuilding Programme

The recommendations in the 2016 report were to insert a DPM behind the upper sections of the monument. However, during the dismantling of the monument in 2018 the grey limestone obelisk was retained in situ and not removed. It was noted that that the backing stones to all sections of the monument had significant air gaps between the wall and the monument which would reduce the transmission of moisture and soluble salts across large areas of the monument and the need for a DPM.

All the iron cramps were replaced, and additional cramps installed with 316 marine grade stainless steel. The structural cramps into the wall were secured with Resifix with the marble to marble/stone cramps bedded into position with plaster of Paris.

The process for rebuilding the monument was as follows:

• While the monument was dismantled the grey limestone section to the obelisk needed to be secured. As noted already in the report there were no visible fixings tying these significant sections back into the wall except for the retaining cramp at the top. To introduce support beneath the sections stainless steel bars were inserted with resin to the underside of the sections.

• The inscription panel was the first section to be reinstated. The design for a large section upturned stainless steel cramp was agreed with Philip Hughes Associates and cut into the bottom of the marble for optimum support. The profile was shored up to be level using the 'faux corbels' either side with stainless steel cramps securing the top bed to the wall.

• The central bottom 'corbel' was then re-fixed with stainless steel pins.

• The two side wings were secured to the panel with stainless steel dog cramps and tied to the wall behind with stainless steel cramps.

• The first shelf was placed on top of the inscription panel. This is held up with the surface fixed decorative corbels using three stainless steel pins. The shelf was reinforced with three cramps before the middle panel displaying the three medallion carvings of the sisters was installed.

• We wanted to avoid the entire weight of the middle panel and the rest of the monument above bearing down on the inscription panel and knew that it would have to be hung as it was before with the huge iron fish tail cramps. Where the huge fish tail cramps were removed the wall were rebuilt with rubble stone to match the existing which were not suitable to fix to. There were only a handful of stones in this section of the wall which were sound enough to fix to, so we had to work out where we could get a hidden fixing into these stones and where they would be eventually covered by the three sisters. We worked out the positioning of the fixings to hang the panel 3mm above the shelf using 4x12mm threaded bar in varied locations. The result was that the weight was now being taken by the wall and the 3mm joint was pointed in with toned plaster of Paris.

- The two side wings were then fixed using both stainless steel cramps to the panel and to the wall.
- Surface mounted 'corbels' fixed, ready for the next shelf.
- Cornice shelf bedded and secured with stainless steel cramps.
- Side cornice wings fixed and cramped.
- Repaired bust bases fixed back into original positions.
- Pediment supporting the central bust was bedded and cramped with stainless steel fixings.

• The three portrait busts were re-fixed with pins into their bases and cramps from their shoulders back to the wall.

- The three medallion carvings of the sisters were re-fixed to the panel with three pins each.
- The retaining cramp at the top of the obelisk was replaced with a stainless-steel cramp set in resin with the surmounting marble ball secured on top.





Figure 101: Original iron fixings

Replacement Stainless Steel fixings







Figure 102: The wall behind the inscription panel section showing the rubble construction and with the iron sections still in place



Figure 103: The lower section installed with the repairs to the veneer visible







Figure 104: Example of a stainless-steel structural cramp securing the moulded section which supports the panel above. The air gap can be seen behind the middle section

Figure 105: The middle section reinstated





Figure 106: The sinister side of the middle section reinstated including the scrolled side wings. The new supporting fixings to the grey limestone obelisk can be seen above

Figure 107: Image illustrating the stainless-steel cramp tying the top of the middle panel into the wall and the dexter scrolled side wing waiting to installed







Figure 108: The middle section, bust of Frances Newman and the marble blocks beneath the grey obelisk all reinstated

Figure 109: Detail of the stainless-steel strap between the two sections of the obelisk and the air gap behind the middle section



Figure 110: The busts of Frances and Sir Richard Newman and the central plinth reinstated with stainless -steel cramps ready to be installed to tie the sections of the obelisk together





Figure 111: The bust of Samwell Newman about to be installed. Two of the three medallions have been reinstated



Figure 112: The corroded iron retaining cramps in place before removal



Figure 113: The retaining cramps replaced with stainless steel and the surmounting marble ball reinstated. The coat of arms can be seen after cleaning



## 5.1.6 Finishing Treatments

As the sections of the monument were reinstated the final repairs to the veneers on the lower and middle panels could take place. The surface repairs to the veneers were carried out with plaster of Paris. The plaster was smoothed and polished with dental tools, then painted with acrylics. The paint was colour matched to the surrounding marble, being brown, red, black and green hues.

The monument was given a final clean with a lint free cloth with the steamer reused on the portrait busts.

The lettering to the inscription panel was touched in with Payne's Grey acrylic paint to improve legibility. It was noted that most of the lettering was carved by one person at the time of construction. The names of the daughters were scribed, but the dates for their deaths were left blank. As the daughters died the dates were added. So, in all, four letter carvers work is present. Other than the inscription no other lettering was found.

The fragments of paint to the coat of arms did not require consolidation.

All elements of the monument were given a protective coat of microcrystalline wax.

The painted black border was reinstated with black gouache as it was the closest pure material with a binder to black pigment and would not react adversely with the original scheme.





Figure 114: The lower section of the monument after reinstatement showing the surface repairs to the veneer

Figure 115: Detail of the reinstated and repaired veneer







Figure 116: Fractured dexter decorave corbel adjacent to the inscription panel during the removal of the corroded iron

Figure 117: The dexter corbel pinned and repaired



Figure 118: Central corbel below inscription panel which was cracked through the upper section repaired and reinstated





Figure 119: The lower section after surface preparation, toning in and application of microcrystalline wax



Figure 120: The middle section and portrait busts after surface preparation and toning in to the red veneer and microcrystalline wax to all elements





Figure 121: Overview of the busts and medallion carvings after waxing



Figure 122: Side view of portrait busts after waxing



Figure 123: Lady Frances after conservation



Figure 124: Samwell Newman after conservation





Figure 125: Monument after conservation programme and redefinition of black border

